

DENNY'S TEAM LOSES AGAIN

The Crippled Hoosiers Defeated for the Eighth Time by Anson's Players.

The Batting on Both Sides Proves an Entertaining Feature of the Game—Results of Other League and Association Games.

Special to the Indianapolis Journal.

CHICAGO, May 4.—Captain Denny came on the field to-day with just nine men in uniform to lose his eighth game to Chicago. Shreve held a tota-tets with the turnstile. Boyce acted as leading juvenile in Sunday dress, and Shomberg was unable to leave his bed. The low temperature and lowering heavens were suggestive of an approaching snow-storm in January. Perhaps 150 spectators witnessed the exhibition. The strong batting on both sides was the entertaining feature of the contest. Healy pitched an even game, but Anson's men, aided by a strong wind, lined the ball to the wall in every inning save three. Clark, the Des Moines pitcher who laid open Paul Hines's scalp the day he pitched in Indianapolis, was a little more steady than on that occasion. However, he bored a hole in Esterbrook's arm in the fourth inning, that will leave painful recollections, no doubt. The Chicago fielders miserably. Denny took Ryan's hit in the fourth inning and made such a poor throw to Esterbrook that he felt like kicking himself, and as once changed positions with Paul Hines. A single, followed by a triple, gave Chicago two runs. The next time Ryan hit the ball it went into the carriage yard. A horse shied as Denny was about to throw the ball in, and he stubbornly held it, letting Ryan make a home-run out of his three-base hit. Farrell scored at the same time. A double, a triple and an out gave Chicago two more in the fifth, while a single, a triple and a double ended the sixth inning. In the seventh a base on balls, followed by two singles, an error by Buckley and a triple were good for three more. Myers and Dally for Indianapolis scored on two hits and plenty of errors, in the first and second innings. In the fifth, Myers and Denny got singles, Bassett a double, and the bases were cleared for Chicago. In the sixth, Myers hit the ball in the stand, behind Burns, where Pfeffer threw it. Myers got a third hit in the ninth, and scored while Pfeffer juggled Denny's brouder. Denny's home-run drive over the left-field wall, in the sixth, was the best hit of the game. Score:

CHICAGO.	INDIANAPOLIS.
Ryan, m. 3 2 1 0 1	Hines, m. 0 0 0 0 1
Sullivan, r. 2 4 2 0 0	Myers, r. 3 3 0 0 0
Paul, r. 0 0 0 0 0	Denny, r. 0 0 0 0 0
Anson, c. 0 0 0 0 0	Bassett, c. 0 0 0 0 0
Pfeffer, r. 1 1 4 3 2	Bassett, c. 0 0 0 0 0
Wansor, c. 0 0 0 0 0	Dally, c. 0 0 0 0 0
Burns, c. 2 2 2 0 0	Burns, c. 0 0 0 0 0
Clark, p. 0 1 1 4 2	Buckley, r. 1 0 0 0 1
Farrell, c. 2 0 0 0 0	Shreve, p. 0 0 0 0 2

Totals. 11 13 27 16 5

Score by innings.

Chicago..... 2 2 0 0 2 3 0 0-17

Indianapolis..... 1 0 0 0 3 1 0 0-17

Earned runs—Chicago, 8; Indianapolis, 4.

Two-base hits—Ryan (2), Sullivan, Bassett.

Three-base hit—Sullivan, Paul, Clark, Farrell, Seery.

Home runs—Ryan, Buckley.

Double plays—Williamson, Pfeffer and Anson; Pfeffer and Anson.

First base on balls—Anson, Pfeffer, Hines, Seery.

Hit by pitched ball—Esterbrook.

First base on errors—Chicago, 1; Indianapolis, 3.

Struck out—By Clark, 3; by Healy, 6.

Passed balls—Farrell, 2; Dally, 1.

Wild pitches—Clark, 2; Dally, 1.

Stolen bases—Pfeffer (2), Burns (2), Myers, Denny, Seery (2), Dally (2).

Time—Two hours 30 minutes.

Umpire—Valentine.

Other League Games.

DETROIT, 4; PITTSBURGH, 2.

DETROIT, Mich., May 4.—Pittsburgh dropped another game to the champions to-day, through their inability to gauge Conway's delivery. Galvin, on the other hand, was hit for eleven bases, with a total of twenty-one. Danlap, Sunday and Gaezel shared the fielding honors. Thompson's hand was split in the first inning by a fly ball, and he gave way to Sutcliffe. Only a few people were present, owing to the threatening weather. Score:

DETROIT..... 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-0

PITTSBURGH..... 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-2

Earned runs—Detroit, 0; Pittsburgh, 2.

Two-base hits—Twissell, Conway, Brothers, Richardson.

Three-base hits—Hanson, Galvin, Richardson, Stolen bases—Twissell, Conway, Brothers, Richardson.

First base on balls—Carroll. First base on errors—Detroit, 4; Pittsburgh, 3. Struck out—By Conway, 4; by Galvin, 3. Time—1:35. Umpire—Decker.

ST. LOUIS, 7; LOUISVILLE, 5.

LOUISVILLE, May 4.—The Louisville batted to win the game here to-day, but lost by loose fielding. Lyons, of St. Louis, improved his batting record with a single, a double and a three-base hit, and O'Neill with three singles, but the rest of St. Louis were rather weak. Both pitchers were in good form. The attendance was light. Score:

LOUISVILLE..... 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-17

ST. LOUIS..... 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-17

Earned runs—St. Louis, 2; Louisville, 3.

Two-base hits—Wolf, Smith, Werrick, Lyons. Three-base hits—Robinson, Lyons, Werrick, Lyons.

Stolen bases—Lyons, Werrick, Lyons. First base on balls—St. Louis, 4; Louisville, 3.

Struck out—By Lyons, 3; by Werrick, 2. Time—1:35. Umpire—Decker.

CINCINNATI, May 4.—The heavy rains of to-day left the grounds in poor condition, and the attendance did not exceed fifty people. The Kansas City boys won the game by timely batting and brilliant fielding. Fagan was a puzzler for the local batters, but was wild in his delivery, as he gave seven men their bases on balls. Barkley played a splendid game at second for the visitors. Score:

CINCINNATI..... 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-0

KANSAS CITY..... 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-2

Earned runs—Cincinnati, 0; Kansas City, 2.

Two-base hits—Barkley, Lyons, Werrick, Lyons. Three-base hits—Barkley, Lyons, Werrick, Lyons.

Stolen bases—Lyons, Werrick, Lyons. First base on balls—Cincinnati, 4; Kansas City, 3.

Struck out—By Lyons, 3; by Werrick, 2. Time—1:35. Umpire—Decker.

ST. LOUIS, 7; LOUISVILLE, 5.

LOUISVILLE, May 4.—The Louisville batted to win the game here to-day, but lost by loose fielding. Lyons, of St. Louis, improved his batting record with a single, a double and a three-base hit, and O'Neill with three singles, but the rest of St. Louis were rather weak. Both pitchers were in good form. The attendance was light. Score:

LOUISVILLE..... 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-17

ST. LOUIS..... 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-17

Earned runs—St. Louis, 2; Louisville, 3.

Two-base hits—Wolf, Smith, Werrick, Lyons. Three-base hits—Robinson, Lyons, Werrick, Lyons.

Stolen bases—Lyons, Werrick, Lyons. First base on balls—St. Louis, 4; Louisville, 3.

Struck out—By Lyons, 3; by Werrick, 2. Time—1:35. Umpire—Decker.

CINCINNATI, May 4.—The heavy rains of to-day left the grounds in poor condition, and the attendance did not exceed fifty people. The Kansas City boys won the game by timely batting and brilliant fielding. Fagan was a puzzler for the local batters, but was wild in his delivery, as he gave seven men their bases on balls. Barkley played a splendid game at second for the visitors. Score:

CINCINNATI..... 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-0

KANSAS CITY..... 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-2

Earned runs—Cincinnati, 0; Kansas City, 2.

Two-base hits—Barkley, Lyons, Werrick, Lyons. Three-base hits—Barkley, Lyons, Werrick, Lyons.

Stolen bases—Lyons, Werrick, Lyons. First base on balls—Cincinnati, 4; Kansas City, 3.

Struck out—By Lyons, 3; by Werrick, 2. Time—1:35. Umpire—Decker.

ST. LOUIS, 7; LOUISVILLE, 5.

LOUISVILLE, May 4.—The Louisville batted to win the game here to-day, but lost by loose fielding. Lyons, of St. Louis, improved his batting record with a single, a double and a three-base hit, and O'Neill with three singles, but the rest of St. Louis were rather weak. Both pitchers were in good form. The attendance was light. Score:

LOUISVILLE..... 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-17

ST. LOUIS..... 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-17

Earned runs—St. Louis, 2; Louisville, 3.

Two-base hits—Wolf, Smith, Werrick, Lyons. Three-base hits—Robinson, Lyons, Werrick, Lyons.

Stolen bases—Lyons, Werrick, Lyons. First base on balls—St. Louis, 4; Louisville, 3.

Struck out—By Lyons, 3; by Werrick, 2. Time—1:35. Umpire—Decker.

CINCINNATI, May 4.—The heavy rains of to-day left the grounds in poor condition, and the attendance did not exceed fifty people. The Kansas City boys won the game by timely batting and brilliant fielding. Fagan was a puzzler for the local batters, but was wild in his delivery, as he gave seven men their bases on balls. Barkley played a splendid game at second for the visitors. Score:

CINCINNATI..... 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-0

KANSAS CITY..... 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-2

Earned runs—Cincinnati, 0; Kansas City, 2.

Two-base hits—Barkley, Lyons, Werrick, Lyons. Three-base hits—Barkley, Lyons, Werrick, Lyons.

Stolen bases—Lyons, Werrick, Lyons. First base on balls—Cincinnati, 4; Kansas City, 3.

Struck out—By Lyons, 3; by Werrick, 2. Time—1:35. Umpire—Decker.

ST. LOUIS, 7; LOUISVILLE, 5.

LOUISVILLE, May 4.—The Louisville batted to win the game here to-day, but lost by loose fielding. Lyons, of St. Louis, improved his batting record with a single, a double and a three-base hit, and O'Neill with three singles, but the rest of St. Louis were rather weak. Both pitchers were in good form. The attendance was light. Score:

LOUISVILLE..... 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-17

ST. LOUIS..... 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-17

afternoon between the Elkhart and Lafayette clubs. Score:

Elkhart..... 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0-1

Lafayette..... 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 2-3

Batteries—Casey and Donovan; Leith and Grant.

Umpire—Melville Miller. Murray, of the Lafayette, made the only home run.

CRAWFORDVILLE, May 4.—The defeat of the home club yesterday by the Peoria club tended to add interest to the game to-day. The following is the result:

Crawfordville..... 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0-0

Peoria..... 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0-2

Batteries—Peoria, Barton and Reeves; Crawfordville, Hoskins and Snyder. Errors—Crawfordville, S. Evans. Base hits—Crawfordville, 1; Peoria, 2.

Two-base hits—Bates and Turner. Three-base hit—Turner. Struck out—By Hoskins, 8; by Barton, 2.

The New Yorks To-Day.

The Eastern clubs all play in the West to-day.

The New Yorks at Indianapolis; Philadelphia at Pittsburgh; Washingtons at Chicago, and the Boston at Detroit. The New York team has a number of new players in its ranks, including Foster, Crane, Slattery, Hatfield and Cleveland.

The club is one of the most prominent in the pennant race. Keefe and Ewing will play the part of the center-fielder, did not report to the club at Chicago, as was expected, but arrived last night from his home in the East, accompanied by his wife. He will play in to-day's game.

There is no excuse for yesterday's defeat, for the reason that the Indianapolis club itself made seven runs. Healy should hold the Chicago down to less than seven runs, no difference what kind of fielders he has behind him.

The only reason that the Philadelphia club's misfortune has not been as great as those of the Indianapolis this year, is because the former was in a better condition to meet defeat. Occupying the last place in the League last year, the local club went energetically to work and strengthened its team, and by good management it was gotten into fine playing condition.

Two plays before the Indianapolis season opened the club was good for fourth or fifth place in the League race, and was playing with a spirit it had never known. Then misfortune of the worst kind came upon it and has since followed it with tenacity that is discouraging everyone who likes to see honest enterprise fairly rewarded.

RACING AT NASHVILLE.

NASHVILLE, Tenn., May 4.—First Race—Tam O'Shanter led at the start, closely followed by Lisland, the others well bunched. Tam O'Shanter held the lead until they turned into the stretch, when Golphigly passed him and went under the wire a winner by a head; Tam O'Shanter second, Jim Nave third. Time, 1:17.

Post odds—\$2 to \$1, O'Shanter; \$3 to \$1, Briggmont; \$5 to \$1, Golphigly; \$6 to \$1, Jim Nave; \$4 to \$1, Lisland; \$15 to \$1, Duet D.

Second Race—Comedy went off a little ahead of Frederica; Winslow third. At the quarter pole Frederica moved up and took first place, and held it to the stretch, when Tudor took the lead and went in an easy winner, two lengths ahead of Frederica, second, Comedy third. Time, 1:43. Post odds—\$15 to \$1, Comedy; \$15 to \$1, Surprise; even, Frederica; \$3 to \$1, Tudor; \$20 to \$1, Winslow.

Third Race—March led off, Little Minch second, Wary third. March Luke held the lead into the stretch, when Little Minch moved up and took the front place, passing under the wire an easy winner by a length and a half, with March Luke second, Wary third. Time, 1:53. Post odds—Even, Little Minch and Wary; \$30 to \$1, Headland; \$20 to \$1, March Luke.

Fourth Race—Fan King got the lead at the start and held it into the stretch, when Kee-Ve-Na took the lead and went under the wire a winner by a length. Long Fish second, Fan King third. Time, 1:04. Post odds—\$2 to \$1, Monita Hardy and Fan King; \$7 to \$1, Kee-Ve-Na; \$5 to \$1, Long Fish; \$15 to \$1, Hubs.

Fifth Race—John McFarland took the lead, Biddy Bowling second, the others well bunched. McFarland held the lead to the three-quarter pole, when Duhme moved up in front, Kermesse took the lead, and went under the wire a winner by a head; McFarland second, Biddy Bowling third. Time, 1:56. Post odds—\$3 to \$1, Kermesse; \$2 to \$1, Duet D; \$7 to \$1, Johnnie McFarland; \$4 to \$1, Becky B; \$6 to \$1, Quotation; \$3 to \$1, Biddy Bowling; \$15 to \$1, Duhme.

Paddy Ryan Knocked Out.

DULUTH, Minn., May 4.—John P. Donner, of Duluth, to-night knocked out Paddy Ryan, of San Francisco, the once-named antagonist of John L. Sullivan. The fight was for a private prize of \$25,000, a complete slugging match, ending in the knock-out of Ryan at the end of the third round.

THE M. E. CONFERENCE.

A Proposition to Leave the Woman Question to the Annual Conference.

NEW YORK, May 4.—Bishop C. D. Foss, of Minnesota, presided at to-day's session of the General Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church. Rev. H. J. Liebhafert, of the Central German Conference, opened with prayer. The order of the day, continued from yesterday, was the debate on the report of the committee on eligibility of women as lay delegates to the conference. There was a large audience present. The debate was opened by Rev. Dr. A. B. Leonard, of Cincinnati Conference. An effort was made to close the debate at 12, but failed. Rev. Dr. Leonard began by saying he had received a number of anonymous letters warning him not to speak in favor of admitting women. He was a strong advocate of the admission of women. Replying to Dr. Buckley's speech, he cited the laws of the church, and argued that they favored the women's side of the case. He said that certain insinuations in the address of the bishops were surprising. Women have been regarded laymen from the beginning. They are allowed to vote in the lay conferences for delegates, and if they are entitled to vote they are entitled to seats. It was understood in 1872, when it was agreed to admit lay delegates to the General Conference, that the door was open for the admission of women. Dr. Leonard claimed that Dr. Quail had made certain statements about the law of the church which proved the stand he [the speaker] had taken.

Dr. Quail arose and said that Dr. Leonard had misrepresented the case. Dr. Leonard closed by saying that if women were not to be recognized they should be allowed to vote for the election of lay delegates.

Rev. John Miller, president of Dey Theological Seminary, of the New York Conference, spoke against the admission of women. He said that the laws of the church were properly interpreted they would prove that women are not eligible, and then, besides, no one wanted them in the General Conference. If the question of the admission of women were submitted to vote of the women in the church, nine out of ten would vote against the admission of women delegates.

Rev. R. Day, of New York Conference, argued against the admission of women. When the law was passed for the admission of lay delegates, he said, it was never intended that women should be delegates to the General Conference. It was proposed to take one of the most stupendous pieces of legislation that has been known to Christendom. He was not opposed to women doing the work that she is capable of doing, but he did not think that she should intrude upon the General Conference. The question had never been submitted to a general vote of the church. But the question was not settled, and it should be submitted to a vote. Nothing should be done incautiously. We don't know what place we want women to occupy. If women are admitted, just as men will be displaced, and the force of the lay delegation would be weakened. Women has not the necessary experience. If she is admitted she should come in as an added force, and not as a weakening force.

Gen. Samuel H. Hurst, dairy and food commission of Ohio, the first layman to gain the floor, defended the right of women to admission. He alluded to the opponents of the women as "old fogies." He criticized the bishops' address. The episcopacy, he said, does not interest the law of the church, but the General Conference does. The conference is to be considered as the Supreme Court sitting as a body to interpret the law. Women does not occupy the same position as men in the church, but she comes as the representative of the lay conference. The word "laymen" was interpreted to mean all members of the church not represented in the ministry. That is the law, and if women are "laymen" they are entitled to admission. "Women," he continued, "do more work in the church than men. They are more numerous than men. The spirit of

the age is against the opponents of woman, and the church must follow the lead of the age. The General Conference of 1844 settled the question of the rights of the slave, and to-day the church will settle the rights of the women."

Protestantism of Syracuse University, followed Mr. Hurst. He said he was not in favor of the admission of women at the present time, because the church at large had not expressed an opinion on the subject. He wanted women to be here, but when they came he wanted them to come constitutionally and by the consent of the whole church.

Rev. P. H. New, of Philadelphia, said he was in favor of submitting the question to the annual conference. He offered the following amendment to the report of the committee:

But, since there is great interest in this question, and since the church generally should be consulted in regard to such an important matter, therefore,

Resolved, That we submit to the annual conference the proposition to amend the second restrictive rule by adding the words, "and said delegates may be men or women," after the words, "two lay delegates for an annual conference," so that it will read, "Two or more than two lay delegates for an annual conference, and said delegates may be men or women."

The amendment was seconded by the debate, and will be voted on at the close of the debate.

L. M. Shaw, of Des Moines, argued that women are eligible. He urged that they should be the battery of the church, and the question of the eligibility be submitted to the annual conference afterward.

Alden Spears, of New England, spoke in favor of Dr. New's amendment, and Leonard Fiske, of Detroit, president of Albion College, opposed it.

Gov. P. C. Lounsbury, of Connecticut, moved that the question be taken on the amendment on Monday at 12 o'clock, but the motion was ruled out of order.

Dr. Buckley, who had seconded it, got the floor, and there were objections on the ground that he had already spoken to the question. He said he had not spoken to the amendment, and was permitted by the Chair to proceed. Then numerous points were raised, and the effort was successful, as, before he could get opportunity to speak, the hour of adjournment for the day arrived.

THE JUDICIAL CONVENTION.

Delegates to Select the Republican Candidates for Judge and Prosecutor.

The delegates to the Republican judicial convention, which takes place this afternoon in the Criminal Court room, were elected last night, the voting evincing a harmony that promises well for party work hereafter. Usually primaries for such a convention are attended by a lack of interest, but the spirit now displayed shows the activity of the party workers and foretells the enthusiasm that will characterize the campaign. Only two nominees are to be selected to-day, one for circuit judge and the other for prosecuting attorney, but for both offices the delegates are not making any selection.

Handricks county expects to be represented on the ticket in the nomination of John V. Hadley for judge, but Marion county will also present a candidate. Winslow took the quarter pole Frederica moved up and took first place, and held it to the stretch, when Tudor took the lead and went in an easy winner, two lengths ahead of Frederica, second, Comedy third. Time, 1:43. Post odds—\$15 to \$1, Comedy; \$15 to \$1, Surprise; even, Frederica; \$3 to \$1, Tudor; \$20 to \$1, Winslow.

Third Race—March led off, Little Minch second, Wary third. March Luke held the lead into the stretch, when Little Minch moved up and took the front place, passing under the wire an easy winner by a length and a half, with March Luke second, Wary third. Time, 1:53. Post odds—Even, Little Minch and Wary; \$30 to \$1, Headland; \$20 to \$1, March Luke.

Fourth Race—Fan King got the lead at the start and held it into the stretch, when Kee-Ve-Na took the lead and went under the wire a winner by a length. Long Fish second, Fan King third. Time, 1:04. Post odds—\$2 to \$1, Monita Hardy and Fan King; \$7 to \$1, Kee-Ve-Na; \$5 to \$1, Long Fish; \$15 to \$1, Hubs.

Fifth Race—John McFarland took the lead, Biddy Bowling second, the others well bunched. McFarland held the lead to the three-quarter pole, when Duhme moved up in front, Kermesse took the lead, and went under the wire a winner by a head; McFarland second, Biddy Bowling third. Time, 1:56. Post odds—\$3 to \$1, Kermesse; \$2 to \$1, Duet D; \$7 to \$1, Johnnie McFarland; \$4 to \$1, Becky B; \$6 to \$1, Quotation; \$3 to \$1, Biddy Bowling; \$15 to \$1, Duhme.

Paddy Ryan Knocked Out.

DULUTH, Minn., May 4.—John P. Donner, of Duluth, to-night knocked out Paddy Ryan, of San Francisco, the once-named antagonist of John L. Sullivan. The fight was for a private prize of \$25,000, a complete slugging match, ending in the knock-out of Ryan at the end of the third round.

THE M. E. CONFERENCE.

A Proposition to Leave the Woman Question to the Annual Conference.

NEW YORK, May 4.—Bishop C. D. Foss, of Minnesota, presided at to-day's session of the General Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church. Rev. H. J. Liebhafert, of the Central German Conference, opened with prayer. The order of the day, continued from yesterday, was the debate on the report of the committee on eligibility of women as lay delegates to the conference. There was a large audience present. The debate was opened by Rev. Dr. A. B. Leonard, of Cincinnati Conference. An effort was made to close the debate at 12, but failed. Rev. Dr. Leonard began by saying he had received a number of anonymous letters warning him not to speak in favor of admitting women. He was a strong advocate of the admission of women. Replying to Dr. Buckley's speech, he cited the laws of the church, and argued that they favored the women's side of the case. He said that certain insinuations in the address of the bishops were surprising. Women have been regarded laymen from the beginning. They are allowed to vote in the lay conferences for delegates, and if they are entitled to vote they are entitled to seats. It was understood in 1872, when it was agreed to admit lay delegates to the General Conference, that the door was open for the admission of women. Dr. Leonard claimed that Dr. Quail had made certain statements about the law of the church which proved the stand he [the speaker] had taken.

Dr. Quail arose and said that Dr. Leonard had misrepresented the case. Dr. Leonard closed by saying that if women were not to be recognized they should be allowed to vote for the election of lay delegates.

Rev. John Miller, president of Dey Theological Seminary, of the New York Conference, spoke against the admission of women. He said that the laws of the church were properly interpreted they would prove that women are not eligible, and then, besides, no one wanted them in the General Conference. If the question of the admission of women were submitted to vote of the women in the church, nine out of ten would vote against the admission of women delegates.

Rev. R. Day, of New York Conference, argued against the admission of women. When the law was passed for the admission of lay delegates, he said, it was never intended that women should be delegates to the General Conference. It was proposed to take one of the most stupendous pieces of legislation that has been known to Christendom. He was not opposed to women doing the work that she is capable of doing, but he did not think that she should intrude upon the General Conference. The question had never been submitted to a general vote of the church. But the question was not settled, and it should be submitted to a vote. Nothing should be done incautiously. We don't know what place we want women to occupy. If women are admitted, just as men will be displaced, and the force of the lay delegation would be weakened. Women has not the necessary experience. If she is admitted she should come in as an added force, and not as a weakening force.

Gen. Samuel H. Hurst, dairy and food commission of Ohio, the first layman to gain the floor, defended the right of women to admission. He alluded to the opponents of the women as "old fogies." He criticized the bishops' address. The episcopacy, he said, does not interest the law of the church, but the General Conference does. The conference is to be considered as the Supreme Court sitting as a body to interpret the law. Women does not occupy the same position as men in the church, but she comes as the representative of the lay conference. The word "laymen" was interpreted to mean all members of the church not represented in the ministry. That is the law, and if women are "laymen" they are entitled to admission. "Women," he continued, "do more work in the church than men. They are more numerous than men. The spirit of